Exhibit 3

| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
|----|---|
| 2 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI |
| 3 | EASTERN DIVISION |
| 4 | |
| 5 | GEORGE MOORE AND VIRGINIA CARTER, ET) |
| | AL. ON BEHALF OF THEMSELVES AND ALL) |
| 6 | OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,) CASE NO. |
| |) 4:18-cv-01962- |
| 7 | PLAINTIFFS,) SEP |
| |) |
| 8 | VS.) |
| |) |
| 9 | COMPASS GROUP USA, INC., D/B/A) |
| | CANTEEN, |
| 10 |) |
| |) |
| 11 | DEFENDANT.) |
| |) |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL COFFEY |
| 17 | FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 2022 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | LOCATION: REMOTE PROCEEDING |
| 21 | |
| 22 | REPORTED REMOTELY BY: SUSAN S. HENRIQUEZ, CERTIFIED |
| | SHORTHAND REPORTER NO. 13763 |
| 23 | |
| 24 | JOB NO. 5057322 |
| 25 | |
| | |
| | Page 1 |

| 1 | DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL COFFEY, TAKEN REMOTELY, VIA |
|----|---|
| 2 | VIDEOCONFERENCE, ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS, AT |
| 3 | 8:03 A.M. PST, FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 2022, BEFORE |
| 4 | SUSAN S. HENRIQUEZ, C.S.R. NO. 13763. |
| 5 | |
| 6 | APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (ALL APPEARED REMOTELY): |
| 7 | |
| 8 | FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: |
| 9 | ARIAS SANGUINETTI WANG & TORRIJOS, LLP |
| | BY: ROBERT M. PARTAIN, ESQ. |
| 10 | 6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST |
| | 14TH FLOOR |
| 11 | LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90045 |
| | 310.844.9696 |
| 12 | ROBERT@ASWTLAWYERS.COM |
| 13 | |
| | FOR THE DEFENDANT: |
| 14 | |
| | K&L GATES, LLP |
| 15 | BY: JOSEPH C. WYLIE, II, ESQ. |
| | 70 WEST MADISON STREET |
| 16 | SUITE 3300 |
| | CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60602 |
| 17 | 312.372.1121 |
| | JOSEPH.WYLIE@KLGATES.COM |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |
| | Page 2 |

| 1 | A No. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q You understand that Mr. Goldring was deposed on |
| 3 | two separate sessions back in June of last year; is that |
| 4 | right? |
| 5 | A Yes. |
| 6 | Q Have you talked with Mr. Goldring about his |
| 7 | deposition? |
| 8 | A No. |
| 9 | Q So, again, we're here in connection with a |
| 10 | lawsuit involving the two-tier pricing on Canteen vending |
| 11 | machines throughout the country and the labeling regarding |
| 12 | that two-tier pricing system. |
| 13 | Do you understand that? |
| 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | Q I understand from my conversation with |
| 16 | Mr. Goldring last June that Canteen did a what I'm |
| 17 | going to call a survey, and I think he referred to it as |
| 18 | that as well, back between March of 2019 and February of |
| 19 | 2020 regarding the labeling on the two-tier machines. |
| 20 | Are you familiar with that survey? |
| 21 | A Yes. |
| 22 | Q Were you involved in the process at Canteen of |
| 23 | deciding to do that survey? |
| 24 | A Yes. |
| 25 | Q And what was your role in that process? |
| | Page 10 |

| 1 | A I provided the technical ability to collect the |
|----|---|
| 2 | survey and insight on how to do that. |
| 3 | Q When you say you "provided the technical |
| 4 | ability, " what specifically did you provide? |
| 5 | A Awareness that we could use a photo capture |
| 6 | position and a database to reconcile those photos and the |
| 7 | information collected. |
| 8 | Q Now, there was another person at Canteen I spoke |
| 9 | with back in June. I believe her name was Martha Morgan. |
| 10 | Do you know Ms. Morgan? |
| 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | Q My understanding from my prior deposition is that |
| 13 | Ms. Morgan was involved also in the technical, sort of, |
| 14 | creation of the app, if you will, for the survey and |
| 15 | things of that nature; is that accurate? |
| 16 | A Yes. |
| 17 | Q Were you involved in that as well? |
| 18 | A No. |
| 19 | Q Were you one of the folks at Canteen that was in |
| 20 | the decision making team on whether to conduct the survey |
| 21 | or not? |
| 22 | A No. |
| 23 | Q Who was that? |
| 24 | A David Goldring was leading that. |
| 25 | Q Who else made that decision? |
| | Page 11 |

| 1 | BY MR. PARTAIN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q So the first one here, "Top Priorities," the "Two |
| 3 | Tier Project," it appears, to me at least, that this is |
| 4 | referring to what we now call the survey that was |
| 5 | conducted between March 2019 and February 2020. |
| 6 | Is that right? |
| 7 | A Yes. |
| 8 | Q And Martha here appears to be the person |
| 9 | responsible for creating this application to take |
| 10 | photographs of machines before and after the updated |
| 11 | labeling. |
| 12 | Do you see that? |
| 13 | A I do. |
| 14 | Q And underneath that, you seem first of all, |
| 15 | what does "P2PE Conversion" mean? |
| 16 | A Point To Point Conversion. |
| 17 | Q What is that? |
| 18 | A It's the security of a credit card when it |
| 19 | swipes. |
| 20 | Q And you appear to be responsible for this next |
| 21 | task, which is (as read): |
| 22 | "Market credit card encryption at the kiosk. |
| 23 | Converting Gen 2s or replacing with Gen 3s. |
| 24 | Primarily field implementation?" |
| 25 | This is a technology thing that doesn't have |
| | Page 73 |

| 1 | A Correct. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q Okay. And then on Page 81, sir, starting on |
| 3 | Line 14, I asked (as read): |
| 4 | "Who specifically decided to do the survey? |
| 5 | I know you said it was at your direction, but was |
| 6 | it your direction alone, or was it part of the |
| 7 | Executive Team, or who decided to do this survey?" |
| 8 | "Answer: It would have been Peter and myself |
| 9 | and Mike." |
| 10 | Now, you told me earlier that you had no role in |
| 11 | deciding to do the survey; so you would disagree with what |
| 12 | Mr. Goldring testified to here on Page 81? |
| 13 | A I don't disagree. I was part of the |
| 14 | conversation. I wasn't the deciding factor on whether to |
| 15 | implement the survey. |
| 16 | Q Okay. Well, the question specifically was who |
| 17 | decided to do the survey, and he identified you as one of |
| 18 | the three people. |
| 19 | Do you disagree with that? |
| 20 | A I don't decide what action is taken. |
| 21 | Q So you do disagree, is what you're saying? |
| 22 | A I do. |
| 23 | Q Okay. Do you know whether, after the survey was |
| 24 | completed, whether Canteen did any audit of the survey |
| 25 | data, meaning take a small sample and, you know, verify |
| | Page 99 |

| 1 | that the photographs were being taken the way they were |
|----|---|
| 2 | supposed to and that the labeling was applied the way it |
| 3 | was supposed to? Do you know whether, after the survey |
| 4 | was done, Canteen made any effort to audit the survey |
| 5 | results? |
| 6 | A I do not. |
| 7 | Q Meaning you don't know, not that it wasn't done; |
| 8 | is that right? |
| 9 | A I do not know. |
| 10 | Q Okay. We're almost done, sir. |
| 11 | I think I asked you this, and I hate to be |
| 12 | repetitive, sir, I just want to make sure: When I asked |
| 13 | you about Exhibit 45 let me put it back up on the |
| 14 | screen. This is the e-mail that Ms. Sielck sent to you |
| 15 | that attached the Texas office of Consumer Credit |
| 16 | Commissioner. If I recall right, you told me you don't |
| 17 | know one way or the other whether you did anything in |
| 18 | response to this letter. |
| 19 | Is that right or wrong? |
| 20 | A That's correct. |
| 21 | Q Okay. And the only reason I'm asking you is when |
| 22 | I talked to Mr. Goldring, he thought that maybe you would |
| 23 | know what was done in response to it, but you don't know |
| 24 | either; is that right? |
| 25 | A I do not. |
| | |

Page 90

| 1 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA) |
|----|---|
| 2 | COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) ss. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | I, Susan S. Henriquez, C.S.R. No. 13763, in and |
| 5 | for the State of California, do hereby certify: |
| 6 | That prior to being examined, the witness named in |
| 7 | the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to testify |
| 8 | to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; |
| 9 | That said deposition was taken down by me, |
| 10 | remotely, in shorthand, at the time therein named and |
| 11 | thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction, and |
| 12 | the same is a true, correct, and complete transcript of |
| 13 | said proceedings; |
| 14 | That if the foregoing pertains to the original |
| 15 | transcript of a deposition in a Federal Case, before |
| 16 | completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript |
| 17 | { } was { } was not required. |
| 18 | I further certify that I am not interested in the |
| 19 | event of this action. |
| 20 | Witness my hand this 23rd day of February, 2022. |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Curan Honing |
| 23 | James 110 y |
| | Certified Shorthand Reporter |
| 24 | for the State of California |
| 25 | |
| | Page 94 |